1. On page 7, the guidance states a 5-page limit. Can the staff CV’s be attached as an appendix and not be included in the 5-page limit?
   Yes, CVs can be submitted as an appendix and do not need to be included in the 5-page limit.

2. Is there any legacy data to migrate? How do you envisage data input?
   There is no legacy data to be considered under this solicitation.

3. Do you require any particular system integrations for this project? I.e. from external sources into imPact or from imPact to external sources (i.e. Datim)?
   No.

4. Have you already identified the buy-in indicators that will overlap with the global indicators, since you’ve mentioned in the document that you’ve anticipated significant repetition for those (at least 50%) in category options, categories, category combinations, data elements, and indicators among the projects?
   Yes, anticipate 50% of metadata for each buy-in overlaps with metadata from other buy-ins and/or the project’s global indicators.

5. Do you require targets to be captured and measured for ACHIEVE like currently happens for PMP site? The RFP does not mention targeting.
   Yes, assume annual targets for performance indicators for buy-ins, not core. See updated set-up specifications table below.

6. Does ACHIEVE - as a sub-project of Pact - have a need to report to global indicators? I.e. ACHIEVE has buy-in countries that contribute to ACHIEVE global indicators (and some separate ACHIEVE global indicators). But do those ACHIEVE global indicators further roll up to Pact Global Indicators? Or perhaps each buy-in MEL plan and the global MEL plan should be considered as a separate contributor to Pact Global indicators under the “ACHIEVE” project attribute.
   Configuration should reflect the need for **buy-in** data to “roll up” to Pact’s enterprise Global Indicators. Pact’s enterprise Global Indicators are already configured in Pact’s imPact database. The buy-ins must report into the enterprise Global Indicators on an annual basis. To reduce data entry burden, where appropriate, buy-in performance indicators will be mapped to Pact’s enterprise Global Indicators to enable automatic reporting in imPact from buy-in to enterprise Global Indicator. Not all buy-in performance indicators will be mapped and configured to auto-populate enterprise Global Indicators. And not all enterprise Global Indicators will be applicable to buy-in performance indicators. The estimated number of performance indicator data elements which will need to be mapped to relevant enterprise Global Indicator data elements are now added to the table, as updated below.

   ACHIEVE global indicators will not “roll up” to Pact’s enterprise Global Indicators.

7. In the current proposed timeline of deliverables, the Buy-in countries are done in parallel with the Global sites. Yet, it would be more efficient to start with the global sites first and then have the individual countries cascade from that. Is it possible to adjust the timeline of deliverables to reflect this suggestion?
   This is not currently possible due to donor expectations for buy-in timelines. The timeline can be discussed during start-up.

8. Are we supposed to send separate emails of the Technical and the Financial Proposals?
   They may be submitted in the same email.

9. The RFA mentions the assumption of a deliverables-based contracting mechanism. Can you clarify whether you anticipate pricing to be based on a flat project fee or a fee per deliverable? In addition, can you please confirm whether you desire a time and materials versus fixed fee pricing contract?

   Pricing should be based on fee per deliverable. Pact expects to issue a fixed fee pricing contract.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographic Level</th>
<th>Organization unit</th>
<th>Category option, category, category combination, &amp; dataset attribute</th>
<th>Data elements</th>
<th>Datasets</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>User</th>
<th>Targets: expected number of data elements</th>
<th>Data elements mapped to Pact enterprise Global Indicators &amp; targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>6 countries</td>
<td>60 disaggregations</td>
<td>160 data elements for 80 MEL Plan indicators</td>
<td>Frequency: quarterly, semi-annual, and annual</td>
<td>160 indicators inclusive of number and percent</td>
<td>6 super users with access to all data (global + buy-ins)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>1 country, 3 provinces</td>
<td>30 disaggregations</td>
<td>39 data elements for 23 MEL Plan indicators</td>
<td>Quarterly, semi-annual, and annual</td>
<td>39 indicators</td>
<td>3 users</td>
<td>39 data elements</td>
<td>11 data elements mapped to 3 indicators 3 disaggregations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>1 country, 4 provinces</td>
<td>30 disaggregations</td>
<td>44 data elements for 23 MEL Plan indicators</td>
<td>Quarterly, semi-annual, and annual</td>
<td>44 indicators</td>
<td>8 users</td>
<td>44 data elements</td>
<td>3 data elements mapped to 1 indicator 2 disaggregations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>1 country</td>
<td>15 disaggregations</td>
<td>26 data elements for 13 MEL Plan indicators</td>
<td>Quarterly, semi-annual, and annual</td>
<td>26 indicators</td>
<td>6 users</td>
<td>26 data elements</td>
<td>8 data elements mapped to 2 indicators 1 disaggregation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Sudan</td>
<td>1 country</td>
<td>30 disaggregations</td>
<td>44 data elements for 23 MEL Plan indicators</td>
<td>Quarterly, semi-annual, and annual</td>
<td>44 indicators</td>
<td>5 users</td>
<td>44 data elements</td>
<td>12 data elements mapped to 3 indicators 3 disaggregations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>